

Deon Gericke

From: Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 13 October 2020 19:33
To: hes1@law.co.za; info@churchsquare.co.za; northgautengadv@gmail.com; reception@loftusadv.co.za; stateattorneysPretoria@justice.co.za; admin@pabasa.co.za; admin@blaonline.org.za; gcb@mweb.co.za; nfa.advocates@gmail.com; gautengsocadv@gmail.com; tracy.nothnagel@gcbsa.co.za; admin@csadvocates.co.za; nccadmin@law.co.za; sue@lawcircle.co.za; management@clubadvocates.co.za; info@jaa.org.za; info@gaa.org.za; info@nationalbarcouncil.co.za; Issa@issa.org.za; jhbadaadmin@law.co.za; jhbbar@law.co.za; ppv@ppv.co.za; ptabar@law.co.za; Pretoria-FA@justice.gov.za
Subject: Unopposed motions before Judge Fourie 15 October 2020
Attachments: Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie 15 October 2020.doc; UNOPPOSED 15 OCTOBER 2020 JUDGE FOURIE J + JUDGE MOLEFE J.rtf

Good day,

Attached the Unopposed motion court roll and the notice with regard thereto before Judge DS Fourie.

Regards.
Sonya Gillissen
079 400 1963



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
NORTH GAUTENG DIVISION
P O Box 442, PRETORIA

Tel: (012) 315-7711 Fax: (012) 315-7600

**NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S
DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS
FOURIE ON 15 October 2020**

1. The application shall be adjudicated "on paper only" (i.e. without an oral hearing) unless there is a written request for an oral hearing which must then be clearly set out in the practice note referred to herein below. **In such a case, the oral submissions must be summarised in the practice note to enable the Court to prepare properly.**
2. A complete practice note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement;
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
 - 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the page number;

- 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the page number;
- 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
- 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in **Rule 43A applications**) compliance with all those requirements must be **clearly stated** and then **also identified** in the papers by reference to the page number(s) and par;
- 2.7 If any Regulation (relating to Covid-19 or not) or Statute is applicable it **must be stated and compliance therewith be identified** in the papers by reference to the page number and par;
- 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly **identified and disclosed**. If there is no problem it **must be stated that the papers are in order**;
- 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information to be considered by the court, it may also be stated in the practice note.
4. Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, will result in the application being struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.
5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck off the roll.
6. A proper and complete **Draft Order (using MS Word enabling variation thereof)** must be filed for the court to consider.

7. The practice note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by **no later than 12:00 on Wednesday 14 October 2020**, failing which the application will be struck off the roll. Filing must be done by email to Me S Gillissen (sgillissen90@gmail.com) to ensure timeous receipt of the documents.
8. Filing of these documents must be done **simultaneously**, i.e. in one batch (not page by page in different attachments) to avoid an individual search for it on email, stating the number of the case on the roll as well as the name of parties in the subject heading.

DS FOURIE

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA)

(UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)

15 OCTOBER 2020

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE FOURIE J

1. OT MZIMBA	VS	RAF	82962/16
2. FNB	VS	AG PRETORIUS	11545/20
3. FNB	VS	MP LANGA	92198/19
4. FNB	VS	PL FREWEN	54457/18
5. EX PARTE T WILLIAMS			40167/20
6. FNB	VS	IB MNCUBE	21559/20
7. EX PARTE J MYBURGH			11750/20
8. FNB	VS	KJ MAMAKATA	50125/18
9. FNB	VS	S MALULEKA +2	17274/20

10. TC TLOU	VS	RAF	37013/20
11. P NOMPONDO	VS	RAF	37010/20
12. N KULATI	VS	NDPP	14360/20
13. EXPARTE ML VAN COPPENHAGEN			22314/20
14. EX PARTE GJ FOURIE			17932/20
15. EX PARTE BG PARKER			32136/20
16. OPAL ENERGY	VS	AFRICAN PETROLEUM	88659/19
17. NEDBANK	VS	OCEAN RIVERIA	41347/19
18. SM GROVE	VS	DE HERRMANN	5724/07
19. S CHO	VS	DG HOME AFFAIRS	2807/20
20. H KRISHNAMURTY	VS	DG HOME AFFAIRS	1748/20
21. BETTER BROOKS	VS	H HUANG	75943/19

22. EX PARTE MW MATHE			5927/20
23. EX PARTE TA FOURIE			36087/20
24. D VORSTER	VS	C HARTY	2279/20
25. D MUKWEVHO	VS	MG MUKWEVHO	32719/20
26. S MONGOATO	VS	E MONGOATO	4413/20

15 OCTOBER 2020

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE MOLEFE J

27. STANDARD BANK	VS	SP NGUBENI	5810/20
28. MP RAPHIRI	VS	RAF	65193/15
29. EX PARTE CC ROBINSON			45910/20
30. FNB	VS	P BOURAGA	89124/19
31. EX PARTE S STEPHANSEN			17228/20
32. STANDARD BANK	VS	ZF MAVIMBELA	5916/20
33. NM SILANGWE	VS	RAF	38069/20
34. ZB XULU	VS	RAF	19280/18
35. EX PARTE E VAN GREUNING			37421/20
36. LENMED RANDFONTEIN	VS	RAF	21008/20
37. E MOLOBELA	VS	RAF	4569/19

38. LENMED RANDFONTEIN	VS	RAF	6055/20
39. CIVIL CONSULT	VS	ASKARI DEVELOPMENT	6012/20
40. SAWINDU 08	VS	CM MATLALA	63628/18
41. SR NDIMANDE	VS	RAF	11354/16
42. CITY HOSPITAL	VS	RAF	20980/20
43. LENMED HOSPITAL	VS	RAF	73636/19
44. S KHUMALO	VS	ST KHUMALO	68439/17
45. EX PARTE M VAN NIEKERK			24089/20
46. ML MAKOPA	VS	SL SEHLAKO	51830/20
47. FNB	VS	MM ASMAL	49557/17
48. NEDBANK	VS	T SIBANDA	33139/19
49. JA KOK	VS	L KOK	32827/20

50. EX PARTE NA SNYMAN			23867/20
51. EX PARTE AS WEST			46630/20
52. STANDARD BANK	VS	TP MOLOELE	66370/19
53. MM MOSUPYE	VS	P MOSUPYE	77441/19